

**PUGET** SOUND

KING COUNTY

AIR KITSAP COUNTY **POLLUTION** 

PIERCE COUNTY

CONTROL

AGENCY

SNOHOMISH COUNTY

September 10, 1998

Ash Grove Cement Company c/o Henrik Voldbaek, Plant Manager 3801 East Marginal Way South Seattle WA 98134

Dear Mr. Voldbaek:

## Ash Grove Cement Company Notice and Order of Civil Penalty No. 8908

This Agency wishes to acknowledge and thank you for check #15783. The funds (\$3,000.00) from this check, when deposited to the Agency, are accepted in full payment of Notice and Order of Civil Penalty No. 8908.

Thank you for your action to ensure compliance with Agency air pollution regulations and in joining with this Agency in efforts to achieve cleaner air.

Sincer

eal J. Shulmar Ianager - Inspection

NJS:mll

Laurie Halvorson, Agency Counsel

Accounting

Rosemary Busterna, Senior Air Pollution Inspector Rick D. Hess, Senior Air Pollution Inspector

Melissa McAfee, Air Pollution Inspector

Elizabeth Gilpin, Air Pollution Inspector

AGCS2M001380

Dennis J. McLerran, Air Pollution Control Officer

PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY )
a municipal corporation of the state of Washington 110 Union Street, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98101-2038 NO. 8908

VS NOTICE OF CIVIL

NOTICE AND ORDER OF CIVIL PENALTY

ASH GROVE CEMENT COMPANY c/o Henrik Voldbaek, Plant Manager 3801 East Marginal Way South Seattle, Washington 98134

You are hereby notified that, pursuant to Chapter 70.94 RCW and Notice of Violation No. 36225, a copy of which has been previously provided to you or your agent, you have violated Condition No. 7 of Order of Approval No. 5730 of the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency in the following particulars:

On or about the 28th day of March 1997, at 3801 East Marginal Way South in the city of Seattle, King County, state of Washington, during start up of the kiln, you caused or allowed SO2 emissions from the main stack in excess of 200 ppm corrected to 10% O2 for one-hour average in violation of Condition No. 7.

As a result of the foregoing violation(s), a penalty is assessed against you as follows:

For the violation(s) that occurred on or about the 28th day of March 1997, wherein you caused or allowed SO2 emissions during start up of the kiln in excess of 200 ppm corrected to 10% O2 for one-hour average, in violation of Condition No. 7 of Order of Approval No. 5730 of the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, a penalty is assessed against you in the amount of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00).

The full amount of this penalty is due and payable within thirty (30) days of receipt of this notice, unless within such time a request for hearing is filed. (See reverse side for both mitigation procedures and appeal procedures.)

In the event the full amount of this penalty is not paid on or before thirty (30) days of receipt of this notice, or a request for mitigation has not been made to this Agency, or a request for hearing has not been made to the Pollution Control Hearings Board of Washington and the Air Pollution Control Officer, action will be commenced in court to recover such penalty.

Dated this 12th day of August 1998.

Dennis J. McLerran

Air Pollution Control Officer

by Neal J. Shutman Manager - Inspection

Certified Mail No. Z 334 353 808

Paid 8/19/98

110 Union Street, Suite 500, Seattle, Washington 98101-2038

Registration No. 11339

Cert. Mail No. 2 334 353 506

## 206-343-8800 / 1-800-552-3565 / Fax 206-343-7522 NOTICE OF VIOLATION

No.	36225

Date of Violation: March 28		97	atm.		
Name	Responsible Person, Title				
Ash Grove Cement Co.	Henrik V	Manager			
Location of Violation (Address)	City	Zip	County		
3801 E Marginal Way So.	Seattle	98134	King		
Mailing Address	City, State	Zip	Phone		
As above	Seattle, WA.	98134	(206) 623-5596		
DID UNLAWFULLY CAUSE	OR ALLOW VIO	LATION OF:	0		
	OUND AIR POLLUTION	N CONTROL AG	ENCY FOR:		
<ul> <li>□ Section 5.05(e)</li> <li>□ Section 6.03(a)</li> <li>□ Section 9.03(a)</li> <li>□ Section 9.11(a)</li> <li>□ Section 9.12(b)</li> <li>□ Section 9.15(a)</li> <li>□ Section 9.15(b)</li> <li>□ Section 9.15(b)</li> <li>□ Deposition of mud, dirt, or debris from vehicle or location and failure to develop and implement an operation and failure to obtain Notice of Construction approval p Visible emissions in excess of 20% opacity for period emission of air contaminant in quantities/characterial emission of odor-bearing air contaminants without the failure to obtain Notice of Construction approval p Visible emissions in excess of 20% opacity for period emission of air contaminant in quantities/characterial emission of odor-bearing air contaminants without the failure to obtain Notice of Construction approval p Visible emissions in excess of 20% opacity for period emission of air contaminant in quantities/characterial emission of odor-bearing air contaminants without the failure to obtain Notice of Construction approval proval proval</li></ul>	rior to construction/installations aggregating more than 3 stics/duration so as to be injuse of best available control ble control technology.	minutes/hour. urious or interfere w technology.			
☐ Section 9.15(c) Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure Section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.15(c) Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.15(c) Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.15(c) Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from manufacturing procedure to apply VOC-containing material using spread of the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive dust from the section 9.16 Emission of fugitive 9.16 Emission of fugitive 9.16 Emission of fugitive 9.16 Emission of fugitive 9.16 Emission of fu	ess equipment or control app	aratus. I area with filtered e	xhaust and vertical stack.		
☐ Section 9.20 Failure to operate and maintain equipment in good ☐ Failure to meet Order of Approval No. 5730	working order.				
Section Our is Start ve of the kill	o so emissio	as from	the main		
□ Section Ovring Start up of the kill Stack are not to exceed 200 ppm	corrected to	10 % O2 +	or 1 hr Ave		
□ Section //					
FACTS ALLEGED TO CONSTITUTE A VIOLATION	-ch 97 CEM	report			
Under the provisions of Section 3.09 of Regulation I and RCW 70.94.211 you this Notice describing the necessary corrective action you have taken or propregulations, and take the following necessary corrective action:	u are ordered to submit a wi	ritten report within	ten (10) days of receipt of tinuous compliance with the		
Kyl L. Gush					

Air Pollution Inspector Form No. 70-119 (Revised 12/95 cbc) Received By:

Signing this Notice is not an admission of guilt AGCS2M001382

February 25, 1998

Ms. Elizabeth Gilpin Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency 110 Union Street, Suite 500 Seattle, WA. 98101-2038

Dear Ms. Gilpin:

The following is per our February 3, 1998 meeting in which, PSAPCA requested additional explanation about three SO2 events that occurred during preheat.

NOV # 36225 The SO2 exceedance on 03/28/97 was inadvertently reported in the March 1997 CEM report as having occurred during preheat. Upon reexamination, it was determined that this event actually occurred in the first hour following the introduction of feed to the kiln. A search of our records have failed provide information that adequately explains the cause of this event. However, given the proximity in the dates of occurrence and duration of exceedance time the cause is possibly similar to the 04/01/97 event

NOV # 36228 The SO2 exceedance on 04/01/97 was accurately reported in the April 1997 CEM report. The cause was caused by the sorbent system shutting down due to erratic flow of the kiln feed from the 4000 ton storage silos. The erratic flow of the kiln feed caused the screw conveyer supplying the 50 ton feed bin to overload and shut down. The sorbent system, which feeds into this screw conveyer, shut down automatically on an interlock. The kiln feed screw was restarted but an oversight delayed the restart of the sorbent system. Immediate corrective action was taken by the operator by restarting the sorbent system. The operator was reminded that it is imperative to restart the sorbent system in such a case. See explanation for NOV # 36231.

NOV # 36231 The SO2 exceedance on 04/24/97 was shown in April 1997 CEM report to have occurred during preheat. Upon reexamination, it was determined that this event actually occurred in the first hour following the introduction of feed to the kiln. The explanation and corrective action for this event is the same as NOV #36228. However because of the reoccurring nature of the situation, the controls for the sorbent system were programmed to automatically restart with the kiln feed system to reduce the chance for operator oversight or any delay.

Sincerely yours,

Gerald J. Brown Manager, Safety and Environment

cc H. Voldbaek

SO2 EXCURSION STA		STAR	TUP	PREHEAT Before Feed On		KILN START After Feed On			
NOV #	Date of occurance	Preheat	Feed on	Time of occurance	Duration	Max ppmc	Time of occurance	Duration	Max ppmc
36225	03/28/97	03/27/97 - 22:25 to 03/28/97 - 02:00	03/28/97 - 02:00 to 03/29/97 - 02:00	00: 00 - 01:00	2 hour	563	02: 00	1 hour (See Exp.2)	443
36228	04/01/97	03/31/97 - 13:05 to 03/31/97 - 13:17	03/31/97 - 13:17 to 04/01/97 - 13:17				04: 00	1 hour (See Exp.3)	213
36231	04/24/97	04/24/97 - 15:15 to 04/24/97 - 11:30	04/24/97 - 11:30 to 04/25/97 - 11:30				12:00	1 hour (See Exp.4)	244